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Abstract

We introduce Deep Variational Bayes Filters (DVBF), a new method for unsupervised learning of latent Markovian state space models. Leveraging recent advances in Stochastic Gradient Variational Bayes, DVBF can overcome intractable inference distributions by means of variational inference. Thus, it can handle highly nonlinear input data with temporal and spatial dependencies such as image sequences without domain knowledge. Our experiments show that enabling backpropagation through transitions enforces state space assumptions and significantly improves information content of the latent embedding. This also enables realistic long-term prediction.

1 Introduction

Estimating probabilistic models for sequential data is central to many domains, such as audio, natural language or physical plants [5,12,3,4,9]. The goal is to obtain a model $p(x_{1:T})$ that best reflects a data set of observed sequences $x_{1:T}$. Recent advances in deep learning have paved the way to powerful models capable of representing high-dimensional sequences with temporal dependencies, e.g. [5,12,3,1].

A typical model assumption in systems theory is that the observed sequence $x_{1:T}$ is generated by a corresponding latent sequence $z_{1:T}$. More specifically, state space models assume the latent sequence to be Markovian, i.e., $z_t$ contains all information on the distribution of $z_{t+1}$. Moreover, the emission distribution of $x_t$ is assumed to be determined by the corresponding $z_t$. In short, we assume a latent state $z_t$ that holds all information available at time step $t$. This results in the following assumptions:

$$p(x_{1:T} | z_{1:T}, u_{1:T}) = \prod_{t=1}^T p(x_t | z_t)$$

$$p(z_{1:T} | \beta_{1:T}, u_{1:T}) = \prod_{t=0}^{T-1} p(z_{t+1} | z_t, u_t, \beta_t)$$

with $u_t$ as current control input and $\beta_t$ as transition parameters.

We consider modeling a time-discrete, non-linear dynamical system with observations in some space $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^n_x$, depending on control inputs (or actions) from the space $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^n_u$. Elements of $\mathcal{X}$ can be high-dimensional sensory data such as raw images, or any other state observation. With $x_t \in \mathcal{X}$, let $x_{1:T} = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_T)$ be a sequence of length $T$ of observations. Similarly, with $u_t \in \mathcal{U}$, let $u_{1:T} = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_T)$ be a corresponding sequence of equal length $T$ of control inputs, which we consider as given. We are interested in deriving a probabilistic model $p(x_{1:T} | u_{1:T})$.
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*The case without control inputs can be recovered by setting $\mathcal{U} = \emptyset$, i.e., not conditioning on control inputs.
Efficient inference of such latent states is only partially solved with state-space models. Under strong assumptions on the system, one can derive optimal Bayesian filters, such as the classical Kalman filter [7] for linear Gaussian models (LGMs). Yet, for less restrictive models, posterior distributions $p(z_1:T \mid x_1:T)$ are often intractable.

Leveraging a recently proposed estimator based on variational inference, stochastic gradient variational Bayes (SGVB, [8, 11]), approximate inference of latent variables becomes tractable. The principle of SGVB has been transferred to time series [1, 3, 6, 10, 12]. Some of these models violate Eq. (2), require inference subroutines, only softly encode the state-space assumptions (1) and (2) in the KL-divergence or fail to be a mathematically correct lower bound to the marginal data likelihood.

The contribution of this work is, to our knowledge, the first model that (i) enforces the state-space model assumptions in latent space allowing for reliable and plausible long-term prediction of the observable system, (ii) inherits the merit of neural architectures to be trainable on raw data such as images, audio or other sensory inputs and (iii) scales to large data due to optimization of parameters based on stochastic gradient descent [2].

2 Deep Variational Bayes Filters

2.1 Reparametrizing the Transition

Previous approaches emphasized good reconstruction, so that the space only contains information necessary for reconstruction of one time step. Similar to the reparametrization trick from [8, 11], we establish gradient paths through transitions over time so that the transition becomes the driving factor for shaping the latent space, rather than adjusting the transition to the recognition model’s latent space:

$$z_{t+1} = f(z_t, u_t, \beta_t)$$ (3)

Given the stochastic parameters $\beta_t$, the state transition is deterministic (which in turn means that by marginalizing $\beta_t$, we still have a stochastic transition). The immediate and crucial consequence is that errors in reconstruction of $x_t$ from $z_t$ are backpropagated directly through time. This is different to the method used in [10], where the transition is optimized by minimizing a KL divergence. No gradient from the generative model is backpropagated through the transitions.

Fig. 1a shows a generic view on our new computational architecture. Fig. 1b shows an example for Eq. (3), a locally linear transition inspired by [12]. In this case we set

$$z_{t+1} = A_t z_t + B_t u_t + C_t w_t, \quad t = 1, \ldots, T,$$ (4)

2.2 The Lower Bound Objective Function

In analogy to VAEs [8, 11], we now derive a lower bound to the marginal likelihood $p(x_1:T \mid u_1:T)$. After reflecting the Markov assumptions (1) and (2) in the factorized likelihood and due to the deterministic transition given $\beta_{t+1}$, we have:

$$p(x_1:T \mid u_1:T) = \int p(\beta_{1:T}) \prod_{t=1}^{T} \rho_\theta(x_t \mid z_t) \bigg| z_t = f(z_{t-1}, u_{t-1}, \beta_{t-1}) \Bigg) \ d\beta_{1:T}$$

We now derive the objective function, a lower bound to the data likelihood:

$$\ln p(x_1:T \mid u_1:T) \geq \mathbb{E}_{\eta_\phi} \left[ \ln p_\theta(x_{1:T} \mid z_{1:T}) \right] - \text{KL}(q_\phi(\beta_{1:T} \mid x_{1:T}, u_{1:T}) \mid\!\mid p(\beta_{1:T}))$$ (5)

3 Dynamic Pendulum Experiments

In order to test our algorithm on truly non-Markovian observations of a dynamical system, we simulated a dynamic torque-controlled pendulum governed by the differential equation

$$ml^2 \ddot{\varphi}(t) = -\mu \dot{\varphi}(t) + mgl \sin \varphi(t) + u(t),$$

Details about the specific differences can be found in the full version on http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.06432

$^3$
**4 Conclusion**

We have proposed Deep Variational Bayes Filters (DVBF), a new method to learn state space models from raw non-Markovian sequence data. DVBFs make use of stochastic gradient variational Bayes to overcome intractable inference and thus naturally scale to large data sets. In a vision-based experiment we demonstrated that latent states can be recovered which identify the underlying physical quantities. The generative model showed stable long-term predictions far beyond the sequence length used during training.
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Figure 2: (a) Latent space walk in generative mode. (b) Latent space walk in filtering mode. (c) Ground truth and samples from recognition and generative model. The reconstruction sampling has access to observation sequence and performs filtering. The generative samples only get access to the observations once for creating the initial state while all subsequent samples are predicted from this single initial state. The red bar indicates the length of training sequences. Samples beyond show the generalization capabilities for sequences longer than during training.


